

ATTACHMENT  
Interview Summary2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW  
Washington, DC 20037-3213  
T 202.293.7060  
F 202.293.7860

www.sughrue.com

**FAX**

---

Date May 13, 2010

To Examiner Daniel C. Murray

Of USPTO

Fax 571-270-2773

From Ebenesar Thomas

Subject Agenda for Telephone Interview

Our Ref Q76276 Your Ref USSN 10/629,682

Pages 3

(including cover sheet)

---

Please call attention to problems with this transmission by return fax or telephone. Thank you.

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS COMMUNICATION IS CONFIDENTIAL, MAY BE ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGED, AND IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE ADDRESSEE. UNAUTHORIZED USE, DISCLOSURE OR COPYING IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED AND MAY BE UNLAWFUL. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY US.

ATTACHMENT  
Interview Summary

**Application No.:** 10/629,682  
**Attorney. Docket No.:** Q76276

***FOR DISCUSSION ONLY, DO NOT ENTER***

**Agenda for the Telephone Interview**

**Date / time / location**

May 20, 2010 1:30 P.M.

**Introductions**

Applicant's representatives: Ebenesar Thomas (Reg. No.: 62,499)  
(202) 857-3235

USPTO Examiners: Daniel C. Murray (571)270-1773  
George C. Neurauter (571)272-3918

**It is Applicant's intention that such an interview will lead to an agreeable resolution of the rejected claims.**

During the interview Applicants' representative will point out that each and every feature of claim 1 is not disclosed in Moyer and McGuire.

First, Applicant's representative would point out that Moyer does not teach or suggest that the service template (cited in the office action as corresponding to the implementation rules) comprises the device template (cited in the office action as corresponding to the technology and equipment rules).

Second, Applicant's representative would show that the device template of Moyer only provides the capabilities and how to configure the device. But, there is no teaching or suggestion of technology rules, as recited in claim 1.

Third, Applicant's representative would show that according to Moyer, the adaptor module translates the vendor-neutral device configuration settings generated using service template to vendor-specific device configures settings. However, this does not teach or that the

ATTACHMENT  
Interview Summary

**Application No.:** 10/629,682  
**Attorney. Docket No.:** Q76276

***FOR DISCUSSION ONLY, DO NOT ENTER***

device template (cited in the office action for corresponding to technology rules) determining which technology to use based on the equipment in the network.

With regard to claim 13, Applicant representative would point out that that Moyer does not teach or suggest the features of “the equipment rules are used to model how the technology rules must be selected for a particular equipment type.”